## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

\*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, \* CR. 09-40030

\*

Plaintiff,

\*

vs. \* REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

\* ON DEFENDANT'S CHANGE

TODD ALAN MOSTERD, \* OF PLEA

\*

Defendant.

**k** 

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

This matter came before the court for a change of plea hearing on Friday, March 12, 2010. The Defendant, Todd Alan Mosterd, appeared in person and by his counsel, Assistant Federal Public Defender Tim Langley, while the United States appeared by its Assistant United States Attorney, John Haak.

The defendant consented in open court to the change of plea before a United States magistrate judge. This court finds that the defendant's consent was voluntary and upon the advice of counsel. The government also consented to the plea hearing before a magistrate judge.

Defendant has filed a petition to plead guilty to Count 1 of the Indictment which charges him with Receipt and Distribution of Child Pornography in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252A(a)(2)(A). At the hearing, the defendant was advised of the nature of the charges to which the defendant would plead guilty and the maximum penalties applicable, specifically: mandatory minimum 5 years imprisonment up to a maximum of 20 years imprisonment; a \$250,000 fine; or both; minimum 5 years supervised release and up to a life term of supervised release; additional term of imprisonment if supervised release is revoked; and a \$100 special assessment.

Upon questioning the defendant personally in open court, it is the finding of the court that

the defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that the defendant is

aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea, and that his plea of guilty to

Count 1 of the Indictment is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact

containing each of the essential elements of the offense. Defendant's guilty plea to Count 1 is

accepted and it is my report and recommendation that he be adjudged guilty of that offense.

**NOTICE TO PARTIES** 

The parties have fourteen (14) days after service of this Report and Recommendation to file

written objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), unless an extension of time for good cause is

obtained. Failure to file timely objections will result in the waiver of the right to appeal questions

of fact. Objections must be timely and specific in order to require de novo review by the District

Court. Thompson v. Nix, 897 F.2d 356 (8th Cir. 1990); Nash v. Black, 781 F.2d 665 (8th Cir. 1986).

Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2010.

BY THE COURT:

s/John E. Simko

John E. Simko

United States Magistrate Judge

2